Serial No. 10/530,775
Filed January 18, 2006
Art Unit 1793
Examiner George P. Wyszomierski
Docket 10.A1-U.S.

Group I. Claims 1, 28, 38, 46, 51, 71 and 76-79, drawn to a method, classified in Class 75, subclass 367.

Group II. Claim 60, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 266, subclass 186.

Group III. Claim 80, drawn to a product, classified in various classes and subclasses in class 148 or 420, depending upon the composition of the product.

The Examiner stated as follows:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice a materially different process, such as a process of heating a room using the exothermic reaction.

The Examiner also stated: Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to make a different product, such as a metal matrix composite product.

2

Serial No. 10/530,775 Filed January 18, 2006 Art Unit 1793 Examiner George P. Wyszomierski Docket 10.A1-U.S.

The Examiner also states: Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that t the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP §806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, such as an atomizing process.

Applicants elect the claims of Group I with traverse.

Claims for examination are 1, 28, 38, 46, 51, 71 and 76-79 drawn to a method.

April 2, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

OLSON & CEPURITIS, LTD.

Party W. Levy

Registration No. 24,248

20 North Wacker Drive 36th floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Phone: (312) - 580-1180 Facsimile: (312) 580 - 1189

e-mail: hlevy@olsonip.com

3